

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,534	08/27/2001	Christopher Atkinson		4675
20457 75	90 05/07/2004		EXAMI	NER
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			PEREZ, ANGELICA	
SUITE 1800	TH SEVENTEENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-9889		2684	a
	·		DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004	' /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
.	09/869,534	ATKINSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Angelica M. Perez	2684
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ 3) □ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unconditions.	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subj	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 17-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 13-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsunoda (Tsunoda et al.; US Patent No.: 5,337,073 A).

Regarding claims 1,14, 15, 16 and 20, Tsunoda a portable device, method, display module and display (column 1, lines 9-14; figure 6) comprising: a display (column 1, line 10; figure 6, item 24); a light detector for detecting the light incident on at least part of the display (column 1, lines 46-51; figure 6, item 82) a comparator for comparing the light detected with a given threshold (column 7, lines 22-30); and control means a controller controlling an illuminator of illuminating the display in dependence upon the output of the comparator (column 8, lines 6-21; figure 6, item 80), where the light detector is positioned to receive a light level that represents the total light

Art Unit: 2684

contributing to display illumination which is the sum of the light received from the illuminator and the light incident on the display (column 7, lines 25-30, e.g., circuit 82 and EL lamp 26 corresponding to light incident to the display and light from the illuminator).

Regarding claim 2, Tsunoda teaches all the limitations of claim 1. In addition,
Tsunoda teaches where the light detector is located behind the display, remote from the
surface of the display onto which the ambient light is incident (column 1, lines 47-51,
where the function of sensing the incident light is fulfilled and the condition of positioning
the light detector behind the display corresponds to a designer's choice).

Regarding claim 3, Tsunoda teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Tsunoda further teaches where the controller disables the illuminator in response to an indication by the comparator that the light detected exceeds a first threshold (colums 7 and 8; lines 36-41 and 6-9, respectively).

Regarding claim 4, Tsunoda teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Tsunoda further teaches where the controller enables the illuminator in response to an indication by the comparator that the light detected is less than a second threshold (colums 7 and 8; lines 41-47 and 9-15, respectively).

Regarding claim 5, Tsunoda teaches all the limitations of claim 3. Tsunoda further teaches where the controller enables the illuminator in response to an indication by the comparator that the light detected is less than a second threshold (colums 7 and 8; lines 41-47 and 9-15, respectively).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claim 13, Tsunoda teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Tsunoda also teaches of a portable communications device such as a radiotelephone (column 1, lines 46-51, where "portable radio equipment" includes "radiotelephones").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsunoda in view of Suzuki (Suzuki, Takaharu; US Patent No.: 6,078,302 A).

Regarding claim 6, Tsunoda teaches all the limitations of claim 5.

Tsunoda does not teach where the controller partially enables the illuminator in response to an indication by the comparator that the light detected is between the first and second thresholds.

In related art concerning screen brightness control, Suzuki teaches where the controller partially enables the illuminator in response to an indication by the comparator that the light detected is between the first and second thresholds (columns 1 and 2, lines 65-67 and 1-11, respectively; where brightness is adjusted as needed with a partial luminescence).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Tsunoda's enablement/ disablement of the illuminator

Art Unit: 2684

with Suzuki's partial enablement of the illuminator in order to provide a display with optimal brightness.

Regarding claim 7, Tsunoda teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Suzuki further teaches of means for determining a change in output of the light detector over a predetermined period, where the control means is arranged to disable functionality relating to the display in response to an indication that no change is determined (column 3, lines 3-7; where after an optimal brightness is detected, the detector will detect no change).

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsunoda in view of Zuzuki in further view of Bauer (Bauer, Harald; US Publication: 2001/0024967 A1).

Regarding claim 8, Tsunoda in view of Suzuki teaches all the limitations of claim 7.

Tsunoda and Suzuki do not teach where the controller is arranged to disable the display in response to an indication that no change is determined.

In related art concerning an energy-saving circuit based control display device,
Bauer teaches where the controller is arranged to disable the display in response to an
indication that no change is determined (paragraph 0014; where
disablement/enablement are performed).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Tsunoda's and Suzuki's power-saving portable device

Art Unit: 2684

with Bauer's controller arrangement to disable the display in order to save power when no change is detected.

Regarding claim 9, Tsunoda in view of Suzuki teaches all the limitations of claim 7. Bauer further teaches where the controller is arranged to disable the illuminator in response to an indication that no change is determined (paragraph 0014).

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsunoda in view of Bauer.

Regarding claims 10, Tsunoda teaches all the limitations of claim 1.

Tsunoda does not teach where the display comprises input means responsive to a user.

In related art concerning an energy-saving circuit based control display device, Bauer teaches where the display comprises input means responsive to a user (paragraph 0006, lines 15-17; e.g., "by touching the terminal").

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Tsunoda's power-saving portable device with Bauer's display comprising input means responsive to a user as another means to activate/deactivate the display.

Regarding claim 11, Tsunoda and Bauer teach all the limitations of claim 10.

Bauer further teaches where the controller controls the functionality relating to the display on the basis of settings input by the user via the input means (paragraph 0014).

Art Unit: 2684

Page 7

Regarding claim 12, Tsunoda and Bauer teach all the limitations of claim 10.

Bauer further teaches where the input means comprises touch means, such as a key and/or display region (paragraph 0006).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angelica Perez whose telephone number is 703-305-8724. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:15 a.m. - 3:55 p.m., Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 703-308-7745. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2600's customer service number is 703-306-0377.

Angelica Perez (Examiner)

NAY MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit 2684

April 23, 2004